# On the rigidity matroid of highly connected graphs

Dániel Garamvölgyi (Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest) 13th Hungarian-Japanese Symposium on Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications

Institute of Science Tokyo, Tokyo, May 27, 2025

## Reconstruction from the graphic matroid

Let G be a graph, and let  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  denote its graphic matroid.

Theorem (Whitney 1933)

If G is 3-connected, then  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  uniquely determines G.

This means that (in principle) we can reconstruct G from  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ .

## Reconstruction from the graphic matroid

Let G be a graph, and let  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  denote its graphic matroid.

Theorem (Whitney 1933)

If G is 3-connected, then  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  uniquely determines G.

This means that (in principle) we can reconstruct G from  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ .

In this talk I will

- explain this result, and
- describe generalizations to other families of matroids associated to graphs.

## Identifying vertex stars in the graphic matroid

Let 
$$G = (V, E)$$
 and  $\mathcal{M}(G) = (E, r)$ .

#### Key observation

To reconstruct G from  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ , it suffices to identify which subsets of the ground set correspond to vertex stars.



## Identifying vertex stars in the graphic matroid

Let 
$$G = (V, E)$$
 and  $\mathcal{M}(G) = (E, r)$ .

#### Key observation

To reconstruct G from  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ , it suffices to identify which subsets of the ground set correspond to vertex stars.

- If  $F \subseteq E$  is a connected<sup>\*</sup> hyperplane<sup>\*\*</sup> in  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ , then E F is a vertex star in G.
- If G is 3-connected, then the complement of any vertex star in G is a connected hyperplane of  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ .

# \*connected: for any bipartition $F = F_1 \cup F_2$ , $r(F_1) + r(F_2) \ge r(F) + 1$ . \*\*hyperplane: F is closed and has rank r(F) = r(E) - 1.

Thus in a 3-connected graph G, we have

(complements of) vertex stars in G  $\updownarrow$ connected hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ .

This follows easily from:

- G is 2-connected  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$  is connected.
- $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is connected  $\Rightarrow \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{M}(G)) = |V(G)| 1$

 $\rightarrow$  the number of vertices is determined by  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ !

#### Theorem (Whitney 1933)

If G is 3-connected, then  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  uniquely determines G.

In the rest of the talk, I will describe analogues for

- $(k, \ell)$ -count matroids,
- the  $C_2^1$ -cofactor matroid,
- the *d*-dimensional generic rigidity matroid,
- the very general setting of graph matroid families.

## **Count matroids**

Fix integers  $k, \ell$  with  $k \ge 1$  and  $\ell \le 2k - 1$ .

A graph G is  $(k, \ell)$ -sparse if every subgraph H = (V', E') satisfies  $|E'| \le k|V'| - \ell$ .

The  $(k, \ell)$ -count matroid  $\mathcal{M}_{k,\ell}(G)$  of G is defined by

 $E' \subseteq E$  is independent in  $\mathcal{M}_{k,\ell}(G)$ (f)E' induces a  $(k,\ell)$ -sparse subgraph of G. Count matroids include some familiar matroids:

- $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(G)$  = the graphic matroid of G,
- $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}(G)$  = the bicircular matroid of G,
- $\mathcal{M}_{k,k}(G)$  = the k-fold union of the graphic matroid of G,
- $\mathcal{M}_{2,3}(G)$  = the 2-dimensional generic rigidity matroid of G.

There are efficient algorithms available for computing the rank function of  $\mathcal{M}_{k,\ell}(G)$ .

Fix integers  $k, \ell > 0$  with  $\ell \le 2k-1$ , and set  $c = \max(2k, 2\ell)$ .

We have the following generalization of Whitney's theorem for the  $(k,\ell)\text{-}\mathrm{count}$  matroid.

Theorem (Jordán-Kaszanitzky 2013, G-Jordán-Király 2024)

If G is (c+1)-connected, then  $\mathcal{M}_{k,\ell}(G)$  uniquely determines G.

Fix integers  $k, \ell > 0$  with  $\ell \le 2k-1$ , and set  $c = \max(2k, 2\ell)$ .

As before, it is enough to identify the vertex stars in  $\mathcal{M}_{k,\ell}(G)$ .

We show that

- If M<sub>k,ℓ</sub>(G) is connected and F ⊆ E is a connected k-hyperplane\* in M<sub>k,ℓ</sub>(G), then E − F is a vertex star in G.
- If G is (c + 1)-connected, then M<sub>k,ℓ</sub>(G) is connected and the complement of any vertex star in G is a connected k-hyperplane of M<sub>k,ℓ</sub>(G).

\*k-hyperplane: F is closed and has rank r(F) = r(E) - k.

### Count matroid facts for the proof

Thus in a (c+1)-connected graph G we have

```
(complements of) vertex stars in G

\updownarrow

connected k-hyperplanes of \mathcal{M}_{k,\ell}(G).
```

This follows easily from:



## The $C_2^1$ -cofactor matroid

For a graph G, the  $C_2^1$ -cofactor matroid  $C_2^1(G)$  of G is defined as the row matroid of a certain symbolic matrix.

$$v \in V(G) \rightsquigarrow x_v, y_v,$$

$$uv \in E(G) \rightsquigarrow D(u,v) = ((x_u - x_v)^2, (x_u - x_v)(y_u - y_v), (y_u - y_v)^2),$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \vdots \\ uv \\ \vdots \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} u & \cdots & v & \cdots \\ 0 & D(uv) & 0 & -D(uv) & 0 \\ \vdots \end{array} \right)$$

For a graph G, the  $C_2^1$ -cofactor matroid  $C_2^1(G)$  of G is defined as the row matroid of a certain symbolic matrix.

Recently, Clinch, Jackson and Tanigawa gave a combinatorial formula for its rank function.

**Theorem 2.5.** [3, Theorem 6.1] Let G = (V, E) be a simple graph and let r denote the rank function of  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ . Then for each  $E' \subseteq E$ , we have

$$r(E') = \min\{|F| + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} (3|X| - 6) - \sum_{h \in H(\mathcal{X})} (\deg_{\mathcal{X}}(h) - 1)\},\$$

where the minimum is taken over all subsets  $F \subseteq E'$  and all 4-shellable 2-thin covers  $\mathcal{X}$  of (V, E' - F) with sets of size at least five.

Main difference from count matroid case: the number of vertices of G is **not** always determined by  $C_2^1(G)$ , **even when the latter is connected!** 

Main difference from count matroid case: the number of vertices of G is not always determined by  $C_2^1(G)$ , even when the latter is connected!

Theorem (G-Jordán-Király 2024)

If G is 14-connected, then  $\mathcal{C}_2^1(G)$  uniquely determines G.

Idea: we work with higher (vertical) connectivity.

#### Definition

A matroid  $\mathcal{M} = (E, r)$  is **connected** if for any bipartition  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$  with  $|E_1|, |E_2| \ge 1$ , we have

 $r(E_1) + r(E_2) \ge r(E) + 1.$ 

#### Definition

A matroid  $\mathcal{M} = (E, r)$  is *k*-connected if for any  $k_0 \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$  and any bipartition  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$  with  $|E_1|, |E_2| \ge k_0$ , we have

$$r(E_1) + r(E_2) \ge r(E) + k_0.$$

#### Definition

A matroid  $\mathcal{M} = (E, r)$  is **vertically** *k*-connected if for any  $k_0 \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$  and any bipartition  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ with  $r(E_1), r(E_2) \ge k_0$ , we have

$$r(E_1) + r(E_2) \ge r(E) + k_0.$$

#### Definition

A matroid  $\mathcal{M} = (E, r)$  is **vertically** k-connected if for any  $k_0 \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$  and any bipartition  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ with  $r(E_1), r(E_2) \ge k$ , we have

$$r(E_1) + r(E_2) \ge r(E) + k_0.$$

"Vertical" comes from "vertex":

A graph 
$$G$$
 is  $k$ -connected  
 $\$   
the graphic matroid  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is vertically  $k$ -connected.

## Cofactor matroid facts for the proof

We show that in a  $14\mathchar`-connected graph <math display="inline">G$  we have

(complements of) vertex stars in 
$$G$$
  
 $\updownarrow$   
vertically 8-connected 3-hyperplanes of  $C_2^1(G)$ .

This follows from:



- G is 13-connected  $\Rightarrow C_2^1(G)$  is vertically 8-connected.
- $C_2^1(G)$  is vertically 8-connected  $\Rightarrow \operatorname{rk}(C_2^1(G)) = 3|V| 6.$

 $\rightarrow$  the number of vertices is determined by  $\mathcal{C}_2^1(G)$ !

# The *d*-dimensional generic rigidity matroid

For a graph G, the *d*-dimensional generic rigidity matroid  $\mathcal{R}_d(G)$  of G is defined as the row matroid of a certain symbolic matrix.

We have

- $\mathcal{R}_1(G) = \text{graphic matroid of } G$ , and
- $\mathcal{R}_2(G) = (2,3)$ -count matroid of G,

but for  $d \geq 3$ , no combinatorial characterization is known.

## $\mathcal{R}_d$ -rigid graphs

#### Definition

We say that a graph G on at least d vertices is  $\mathcal{R}_d$ -rigid if  $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{R}_d(G)) = d|V(G)| - \binom{d+1}{2}$ .

Intuitively, this means that generic embeddings of G into  $\mathbb{R}^d$  cannot be deformed continuously while keeping the edge lengths constant.



Main difference from cofactor case: here no combinatorial formula is known for the rank function (for  $d \ge 3$ )!

Main difference from cofactor case: here no combinatorial formula is known for the rank function (for  $d \ge 3$ )!

But! A recent breakthrough:

Theorem (Villányi 2025)

If G is d(d+1)-connected, then it is  $\mathcal{R}_d$ -rigid.

## Connectivity and vertical connectivity

#### Theorem (Villányi 2025)

If G is d(d+1)-connected, then it is  $\mathcal{R}_d$ -rigid.

We can use this result (and very basic properties of  $\mathcal{R}_d(G)$ ) to show that

```
Theorem (G 2024+)
```

- G is sufficiently highly connected ⇒ R<sub>d</sub>(G) is highly vertically connected.
- $\mathcal{R}_d(G)$  is sufficiently highly vertically connected  $\Rightarrow$ *G* is highly connected, and hence  $\mathcal{R}_d$ -rigid.

This implies that for a  $d(d+1)^2$ -connected graph G,

(complements of) vertex stars in 
$$G$$
  
 $\$   
vertically  $d^2(d+1)$ -connected  $d$ -hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{R}_d(G)$ .

#### Theorem (G 2024+)

If G is  $d(d+1)^2\text{-connected},$  then  $\mathcal{R}_d(G)$  uniquely determines G.

## Graph matroid families

## A very general framework

#### Definition

A graph matroid family (GMF)  $\mathcal{M}$  is a family of matroids  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  defined on the edge set of each finite graph G satisfying the following properties:

- well-defined: isomorphic graphs get isomorphic matroids;
- *compatible*: taking subgraphs  $\leftrightarrow$  restricting the matroid.

E.g., family  $\mathcal{M}_{k,\ell}$  of  $(k,\ell)$ -count matroids, family  $\mathcal{R}_d$  of d-dimensional generic rigidity matroids...

Other names for (essentially) the same concept: 2-symmetric matroid (Kalai), matroidal family (Simões-Pereira), graph matroid limit (Király et. al)...

## Dimensionality

A GMF  $\mathcal{M}$  is **trivial** if  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is a free matroid for all G.

Lemma (G 2024+ / folklore?) For every nontrivial GMF  $\mathcal{M}$  there exist constants d, t, c such that for every  $n \ge t$ ,

 $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{M}(K_n)) = dn - c.$ 

## Dimensionality

A GMF  $\mathcal{M}$  is **trivial** if  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is a free matroid for all G.

Lemma (G 2024+ / folklore?)

For every nontrivial GMF  ${\mathcal M}$  there exist constants d,t,c such that for every  $n\geq t$  ,

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{M}(K_n)) = dn - c.$$

Let us call d the **dimensionality** of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If d = 0, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is **bounded**; otherwise it is **unbounded**.

## Dimensionality

A GMF  $\mathcal{M}$  is **trivial** if  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is a free matroid for all G.

Lemma (G 2024+ / folklore?)

For every nontrivial GMF  ${\mathcal M}$  there exist constants d,t,c such that for every  $n\geq t$ ,

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{M}(K_n)) = dn - c.$$

Let us call d the **dimensionality** of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If d = 0, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is **bounded**; otherwise it is **unbounded**.

Definition

A graph G on  $n \ge t$  vertices is  $\mathcal{M}$ -rigid if

 $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{M}(G)) = dn - c.$ 

Theorem (G 2024+) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an unbounded GMF. Then  $\exists c: \text{ every } c\text{-connected graph } G \text{ is uniquely determined}$ by  $\mathcal{M}(G)$   $\updownarrow$  $\exists c': \text{ every } c'\text{-connected graph is } \mathcal{M}\text{-rigid.}$ 

Proof: copy the proof for the generic rigidity matroid.

This recovers all previous "Whitney-type" results (sometimes with a worse bound on the required connectivity).

The following is a strengthening of Whitney's theorem:

#### Theorem (Sanders, Sanders 1977)

Let G, H be graphs, and suppose that there is a bijection  $f : E(G) \to E(H)$  such that for every circuit C in G, f(C) is a circuit in H. If G is 3-connected, then G and H must be isomoprhic.

Is there a similar strengthening for other matroids / for graph matroid families?



# Thank you!

Some references:

- Garamvölgyi, **Rigidity and reconstruction in matroids of highly connected graphs**, 2024. *arXiv:2410.23431*
- Garamvölgyi, Jordán, Király, Count and cofactor matroids of highly connected graphs, *JCTB*, 2024.
- Jordán, Kaszanitzky, **Highly connected rigidity matroids have** unique underlying graphs, *EJC*, 2013.

Given a graph G, the d-dimensional edge split operation replaces an edge uv of G with a new vertex joined to u and v, as well as to d - 1 other vertices of G.

We say that a nontrivial graph matroid family  $\mathcal{M}$  with dimensionality d is **extendable** if the d-dimensional edge split operation preserves independence in  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ , for all G.



Theorem (Villányi 2025)

If G is d(d+1)-connected, then it is  $\mathcal{R}_d$ -rigid.

The same proof method can be used to show the following.

#### Theorem (Villányi 2025 / G 2024+)

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is an extendable graph matroid family, then there exists a constant c such that every c-connected graph is  $\mathcal{M}$ -rigid.